

International Journal of Engineering Research IS & Management Technology

January-2017 Volume- 4, Issue-1

ISSN: 2348-4039

Email: editor@ijermt.org

www.ijermt.org

INVENTORY SYSTEM WITH SHORTAGES AND WEIBULL DETERIORATION

FUNCTION ¹Savita, ²Dr.Kapil Kumar Bansal ¹Research Scholar, ²Supervisor Sunrise University Alwar, Rajasthan

ABSTRACT

Factors such as demand, deteriorating rate, and so on should be taken into consideration in the deteriorating inventory study. Among them, demand acts as driving force of the entire inventory system and the deteriorating rate stands for the characteristics of the deteriorating items. Other factors like price discount, allow shortage or not, inflation, and the time-value of money are also important in the study of deteriorating items inventory.

KEY WORDS : Inventory, deteriorating rate

INTRODUCTION

Inventory cost is an important part of the enterprise operation cost. For deteriorating items, especially those with high deteriorating rate, deterioration is a key characteristic and its impact on modeling of inventory systems cannot be neglected. So the deterioration rate should be taken into consideration in the development of inventory strategy. For different kinds of enterprises, the emphasis on the deteriorating items inventory study is different. For the seller of deteriorating items, the current studies can be divided into two types; the first type emphasizes the inventory strategies for the retailer of the deteriorating items, the second type focuses on the inventory policy under a two-warehouse system. For the manufactures of deteriorating items, the current emphasis is on developing an optimal production-inventory strategy. So, this paper has divided the present studies on deteriorating items inventory for a single enterprise into three categories as stated above. In this paper an attempt has been made to develop an inventory model for infinite planning horizon with exponentially increasing demand rate. It can be noticed that deterioration does not depends upon time only. It can affect due to whether conditions, humidity, storage conditions etc. therefore it is more realistic to consider deterioration rate as two parameter weibul distribution function. Shortage are allowed and fully backlogged. The holding cost considered a linear function of time. The optimal solution of t he proposed inventory model is derived and considered same cases.

ASSUMPTIONS & NOTATIONS

- The replenishment size is constant and production is instantaneous during prescribed time period T of each cycle.
- Lead time is zero.
- Shortage are permitted and completely accumulated

• Demand rate
$$D(t) = \frac{d}{(e-1)T}e^{t/T}$$
 at any time t.

- Deterioration rate $\theta = \alpha \beta t^{\beta-1}$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, $\beta \ge 1$
- Holding cost $C_1 = h + \gamma t$ per unit.
- C_1 , C_2 are the cost of each item, shortage cost per unit per unit time respectively.
- •

ANALYSIS FOR THE SYSTEM:

Let I(t) be the current stock level at any time t.

$$\frac{dI(t)}{dt} + \theta I(t) = -\frac{d}{(e-1)T} e^{\frac{t}{T}}, \qquad 0 \le t \le t_1 \qquad \dots (1.1)$$
$$\frac{dI(t)}{dt} = -\frac{d}{(e-1)T} e^{\frac{t}{T}}, \qquad t_1 \le t \le T \qquad \dots (1.2)$$

By equation (1.1), we have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{I}(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} + \alpha\beta t^{\beta-1} \cdot \mathbf{I}(t) = -\frac{\mathrm{d}}{(e-1)}e^{\frac{t}{T}}$$

I.F. = $e^{\int \alpha \beta t^{\beta-1} dt} = e^{\alpha t^{\beta}}$. Solution of equation (1.1) is given by

$$I(t)e^{\alpha t^{\beta}} = -\int \frac{d}{(e-1)T}e^{\frac{t}{T}}e^{\alpha t^{\beta}}dt + B$$

where B is the constant of integration.

$$=-\frac{d}{\left(e-1\right)T}\int e^{\frac{t}{T}}\left(1+\alpha t^{\beta}\right)dt+B.$$

After expanding $e^{\frac{t}{T}}$ by Taylor's series and neglecting higher order terms of $\frac{t}{T}$ greater than 1

$$\left(\because \frac{t}{T} < 1\right), \text{ we get}$$

$$I(t)e^{\alpha t^{\beta}} = -\frac{d}{(e-1)T} \int \left(1 + \frac{t}{T}\right) (1 + \alpha t^{\beta}) dt + B$$

$$= -\frac{d}{(e-1)T} \int \left(1 + \frac{t}{T} + \alpha t^{\beta} + \frac{\alpha}{T} t^{\beta+1}\right) dt + B$$

$$= -\frac{d}{(e-1)T} \left[t + \frac{t^{2}}{2T} + \frac{\alpha t^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} + \frac{\alpha t^{\beta+2}}{T(\beta+2)}\right] + B. \qquad \dots (1.3)$$

At t = 0, I(t) = S, then from equation (1.3), we have

$$I(t)e^{\alpha t^{\beta}} = -\frac{d}{(e-1)T}\left[t + \frac{t^{2}}{2T} + \frac{\alpha t^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} + \frac{\alpha t^{\beta+2}}{T(\beta+2)}\right] + S. \qquad \dots (1.4)$$

At $t = t_1$, I(t) = 0, then from equation (84), we have

$$S = \frac{d}{(e-1)T} \left[t_1 + \frac{t_1^2}{2T} + \frac{\alpha t_1^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} + \frac{\alpha t_1^{\beta+2}}{T(\beta+2)} \right].$$
 ...(1.5)

Substituting the value of S in equation (1.4) from equation (1.5), then

$$I(t) = \frac{d}{(e-1)T} \left[t_1 + \frac{t_1^2}{2T} + \frac{\alpha t_1^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} + \frac{\alpha t_1^{\beta+2}}{T(\beta+2)} - t \right]$$

International Journal of Engineering Research & Management TechnologyEmail: editor@ijermt.orgJanuary- 2017 Volume 4, Issue 1

$$-\frac{t^2}{2T} - \frac{\alpha t^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - \frac{\alpha t^{\beta+2}}{T(\beta+2)} \bigg] (1 - \alpha t^{\beta}). \qquad \dots (1.6)$$

Neglecting higher order terms of α , we get from equation (1.6)

$$I(t) = \frac{d}{(e-1)T} \left[t_1 - t + \frac{t_1^2}{2T} - \frac{t^2}{2T} - \alpha t_1 t^{\beta} + \alpha t^{\beta+1} - \frac{\alpha t_1^2 t^{\beta}}{2T} + \frac{\alpha t^{\beta+2}}{2T} + \frac{\alpha t_1^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} + \frac{\alpha t_1^{\beta+2}}{T(\beta+2)} - \frac{\alpha t^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - \frac{\alpha t^{\beta+2}}{T(\beta+2)} \right]$$
$$I(t) = \frac{d}{(\ell-1)T} \left[t_1 + \frac{t_1^2}{2T} + \frac{\alpha t_1^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} + \frac{\alpha t_1^{\beta+2}}{T(\beta+2)} - t - t - \frac{t^2}{2T} - \alpha t_1 t^{\beta} - \frac{\alpha t_1^2 t^{\beta}}{2T} + \frac{\alpha \beta t^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} + \frac{\alpha \beta t^{\beta+2}}{2T(\beta+2)} \right] \dots (1.7)$$

Solution of equation (1.2) is given by

$$\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{t}) = \frac{\mathbf{d}}{\mathbf{e} - 1} \left(\mathbf{e}^{\frac{\mathbf{t}_1}{\mathbf{T}}} - \mathbf{e}^{\frac{\mathbf{t}}{\mathbf{T}}} \right). \tag{1.8}$$

Total amount of deteriorated units

$$D = S - \int_0^{t_1} \frac{d}{(e-1)T} e^{\frac{t}{T}} dt$$

= $S - \frac{d}{(e-1)T} \left[T e^{\frac{t}{T}} \right]_0^{t_1}$
= $S - \frac{d}{(e-1)} \left(e^{\frac{t_1}{T}} - 1 \right)$...(1.9)

Substituting the value of S from equation (1.3) in equation (1.6), we get

$$\mathbf{D} = \frac{d}{(e-1)T} \left[t_1 + \frac{t_1^2}{2T} + \frac{\alpha t_1^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} + \frac{\alpha t_1^{\beta+2}}{T(\beta+2)} - Te^{\frac{t_1}{T}} + T \right] \dots (1.10)$$

Number of units in shortage

$$= \int_{t_1}^{T} I(t) dt$$
$$= \frac{d}{e-1} \left[2Te^{\frac{t_1}{T}} - t_1 e^{\frac{t_1}{T}} - eT \right].$$

Therefore average shortage cost

$$= \frac{C_2 d}{T(e-1)} \left[2Te^{\frac{t_1}{T}} - t_1 e^{\frac{t_1}{T}} - eT \right] \qquad \dots (1.11)$$

Average holding cost

International Journal of Engineering Research & Management TechnologyEmail: editor@ijermt.orgJanuary- 2017 Volume 4, Issue 1

$$\begin{split} &= \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} I(t)(h+\gamma t) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} hI(t) dt + \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} \gamma tI(t) dt \\ &= \frac{h}{T} \frac{d}{(e-1)T} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} \left[t_{1} + \frac{t_{1}^{2}}{2T} + \frac{\alpha t_{1}^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} + \frac{\alpha t_{1}^{\beta+2}}{T(\beta+2)} - t - \frac{t^{2}}{2T} \right] \\ &\quad -\alpha t_{1} t^{\beta} - \frac{\alpha t_{1}^{2} t^{\beta}}{2T} + \frac{\alpha \beta t^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} + \frac{\alpha \beta t^{\beta+2}}{2T(\beta+2)} \right] dt \\ &\quad + \frac{\gamma}{T} \frac{d}{(e-1)T} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} \left[t_{1} t + \frac{t_{1}^{2}}{2T} + \frac{\alpha t_{1}^{\beta+1} t}{\beta+1} + \frac{\alpha t_{1}^{\beta+2}}{T(\beta+2)} \right] dt \\ &\quad + \frac{\gamma}{T} \frac{d}{(e-1)T} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} \left[t_{1} t + \frac{t_{1}^{2}}{2T} + \frac{\alpha t_{1}^{\beta+1} t}{\beta+1} + \frac{\alpha t_{1}^{\beta+2}}{T(\beta+2)} \right] dt \\ &\quad = \frac{h}{T} \frac{d}{(e-1)T} \left[t_{1}^{2} + \frac{t_{1}^{3}}{2T} + \frac{\alpha t_{1}^{\beta+2}}{\beta+1} + \frac{\alpha t_{1}^{\beta+2}}{T(\beta+2)} - \frac{t_{1}^{2}}{2} - \frac{t_{1}^{3}}{6T} \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{\alpha t_{1}^{\beta+2}}{\beta+2} - \frac{\alpha t_{1}^{\beta+3}}{2T(\beta+1)} + \frac{\alpha t_{1}^{\beta+3}}{(\beta+1)(\beta+2)} + \frac{\alpha t_{1}^{\beta+3}}{2T(\beta+2)(\beta+3)} \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{\gamma d}{T(e-1)T} \left[\frac{t_{1}^{3}}{2} + \frac{t_{1}^{4}}{4T} + \frac{\alpha t_{1}^{\beta+3}}{2(\beta+1)} + \frac{\alpha t_{1}^{\beta+4}}{2T(\beta+2)} - \frac{t_{1}^{3}}{3} \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{t_{1}^{4}}{8T} - \frac{\alpha t_{1}^{\beta+2}}{\beta+2} - \frac{\alpha t_{1}^{\beta+4}}{2T(\beta+2)} + \frac{\alpha t_{1}^{\beta+3}}{(\beta+1)(\beta+3)} + \frac{\alpha \beta t_{1}^{\beta+4}}{2T(\beta+2)(\beta+4)} \right] \\ &= \frac{h}{T^{2}} \frac{d}{e-1} \left[\frac{t_{1}^{2}}{2} + \frac{t_{1}^{3}}{3T} + \frac{\alpha \beta t_{1}^{\beta+3}}{2T(\beta+2)} + \frac{\alpha \beta t_{1}^{\beta+3}}{(\beta+1)(\beta+2)} + \frac{\alpha \beta t_{1}^{\beta+4}}{(\beta+1)(\beta+2)} + \frac{\alpha \beta t_{1}^{\beta+4}}{(\beta+1)(\beta+2)} \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha \beta t_{1}^{\beta+3}}{(\beta+1)(\beta+3)} + \frac{\alpha \beta t_{1}^{\beta+3}}{2T(\beta+2)(\beta+4)} \right] \\ &= \frac{hd}{T^{2}(e-1)} \left[\frac{t_{1}^{2}}{2} + \frac{t_{1}^{3}}{3T} + \frac{\alpha \beta t_{1}^{\beta+3}}{T(\beta+1)(\beta+3)} + \frac{\alpha \beta t_{1}^{\beta+4}}{2T(\beta+2)(\beta+4)} \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{\gamma d}{T^{2}(e-1)} \left[\frac{t_{1}^{2}}{2} + \frac{t_{1}^{3}}{3T} + \frac{\alpha \beta t_{1}^{\beta+3}}{T(\beta+1)(\beta+3)} + \frac{\alpha \beta t_{1}^{\beta+4}}{2T(\beta+2)(\beta+4)} \right] \\ \\ &\quad - \dots (1.12) \\ \text{Total average cost per time is given by \end{array}$$

 $K(T_1) = \frac{CD}{T}$ + Average Holding cost + Average Shortage cost

$$\begin{split} &= \frac{CD}{T} + \frac{hd}{T^{2}\left(e-1\right)} \Bigg[\frac{t_{1}^{2}}{2} + \frac{t_{1}^{3}}{3T} + \frac{\alpha\beta t_{1}^{\beta+3}}{T\left(\beta+1\right)\left(\beta+3\right)} + \frac{\alpha\beta t_{1}^{\beta+2}}{\left(\beta+1\right)\left(\beta+2\right)} \Bigg] \\ &\quad + \frac{\gamma d}{T^{2}\left(e-1\right)} \Bigg[\frac{t_{1}^{3}}{6} + \frac{t_{1}^{4}}{8T} + \frac{\alpha\beta t_{1}^{\beta+3}}{2\left(\beta+1\right)\left(\beta+3\right)} + \frac{\alpha\beta t_{1}^{\beta+4}}{2T\left(\beta+1\right)\left(\beta+2\right)} \Bigg] \\ &\quad + \frac{C_{2}d}{T\left(e-1\right)} \Bigg[2Te^{\frac{t_{1}}{T}} - t_{1}e^{\frac{t_{1}}{T}} - eT \Bigg] \\ K(T_{1}) &= \frac{cd}{T^{2}\left(e-1\right)} \Bigg[t_{1} + \frac{t_{1}^{2}}{2T} + \frac{\alpha t_{1}^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} + \frac{\alpha t_{1}^{\beta+2}}{T\left(\beta+2\right)} - Te^{\frac{t_{1}}{T}} + T \Bigg] \\ &\quad + \frac{hd}{T^{2}\left(e-1\right)} \Bigg[\frac{t_{1}^{2}}{2} + \frac{t_{1}^{3}}{3T} + \frac{\alpha\beta t_{1}^{\beta+3}}{T\left(\beta+1\right)\left(\beta+3\right)} + \frac{\alpha\beta t_{1}^{\beta+2}}{\left(\beta+1\right)\left(\beta+2\right)} \Bigg] \\ &\quad + \frac{\gamma d}{T^{2}\left(e-1\right)} \Bigg[\frac{t_{1}^{3}}{6} + \frac{t_{1}^{4}}{8T} + \frac{\alpha\beta t_{1}^{\beta+3}}{2\left(\beta+2\right)\left(\beta+3\right)} + \frac{\alpha\beta t_{1}^{\beta+4}}{2T\left(\beta+2\right)\left(\beta+4\right)} \Bigg] \\ &\quad + \frac{c_{2}d}{T\left(e-1\right)} \Bigg[2Te^{\frac{t_{1}}{T}} - t_{1}e^{\frac{t_{1}}{T}} - eT \Bigg] \qquad \qquad \dots (1.13) \end{split}$$

The necessary conditions for minimum the total average costs $K(t_1,T)$ are

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{K}}{\partial \mathbf{T}} = 0$$
 and $\frac{\partial \mathbf{K}}{\partial \mathbf{t}_1} = 0$...(1.14)

Now $\frac{\partial K}{\partial T} = 0$, gives

$$-2C\left[t_{1} + \frac{3t_{1}^{2}}{4T} + \frac{\alpha t_{1}^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} + \frac{3\alpha t_{1}^{\beta+2}}{2T(\beta+2)} - \frac{Te^{\frac{t_{1}}{T}}}{2} - \frac{t_{1}e^{\frac{t_{1}}{T}}}{2} + \frac{T}{2}\right]$$
$$-3h\left[\frac{t_{1}^{2}}{3} + \frac{t_{1}^{3}}{3T} + \frac{\alpha \beta t_{1}^{\beta+3}}{T(\beta+1)(\beta+3)} + \frac{2\alpha \beta t_{1}^{\beta+2}}{3(\beta+1)(\beta+2)}\right]$$
$$-3\gamma\left[\frac{2t_{1}^{3}}{9} + \frac{t_{1}^{4}}{8T} + \frac{\alpha \beta t_{1}^{\beta+3}}{2(\beta+2)(\beta+3)} + \frac{\alpha \beta t_{1}^{\beta+4}}{2T(\beta+2)(\beta+4)}\right]$$
$$+C_{2}t_{1}^{2}e^{\frac{t_{1}}{T}} = 0. \qquad \dots (1.15)$$

and $\frac{\partial K}{\partial t_1} = 0$, gives $C \left[1 + \frac{t_1}{T} + \alpha t_1^{\beta} + \frac{\alpha t_1^{\beta+1}}{T} - e^{\frac{t_1}{T}} \right]$

$$+h\left[t_{1} + \frac{t_{1}^{2}}{T} + \frac{\alpha\beta t_{1}^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} + \frac{\alpha\beta t_{1}^{\beta+2}}{T(\beta+1)}\right] \\ +\gamma\left[\frac{t_{1}^{2}}{2} + \frac{t_{1}^{3}}{2T} + \frac{\alpha\beta t_{1}^{\beta+2}}{2(\beta+2)} + \frac{\alpha\beta t_{1}^{\beta+3}}{2T(\beta+2)}\right] \\ +C_{2}\left(Te^{\frac{t_{1}}{T}} - \frac{t_{1}}{T}e^{\frac{t_{1}}{T}}\right) = 0. \qquad \dots (1.16)$$

Equation (1.15) and (1.16) gives the optimum values of T and t_1 respectively. Provided

$$\frac{\partial^2 K}{\partial T^2} > 0, \quad \frac{\partial^2 K}{\partial t_1^2} > 0 \quad \text{and} \left(\frac{\partial^2 K}{\partial T^2}\right) \left(\frac{\partial^2 K}{\partial t_1^2}\right) - \left(\frac{\partial^2 K}{\partial T \partial t_1}\right)^2 > 0 \dots (1.17)$$

Case I: In case of finite planning horizon, the total average cost is given by

$$\begin{split} K(t_{1}) &= \frac{Cd}{T^{2}(e-1)} \Biggl[t_{1} + \frac{t_{1}^{2}}{2T} + \frac{\alpha t_{1}^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} + \frac{\alpha t_{1}^{\beta+2}}{T(\beta+2)} - Te^{\frac{t_{1}}{T}} + T \Biggr] \\ &+ \frac{hd}{T^{2}(e-1)} \Biggl[\frac{t_{1}^{2}}{2} + \frac{t_{1}^{3}}{3T} + \frac{\alpha \beta t_{1}^{\beta+3}}{T(\beta+1)(\beta+3)} + \frac{\alpha \beta t_{1}^{\beta+2}}{T(\beta+1)(\beta+2)} \Biggr] \\ &+ \frac{\gamma d}{T^{2}(e-1)} \Biggl[\frac{t_{1}^{3}}{6} + \frac{t_{1}^{4}}{8T} + \frac{\alpha \beta t_{1}^{\beta+3}}{2(\beta+2)(\beta+3)} + \frac{\alpha \beta t_{1}^{\beta+4}}{2T(\beta+2)(\beta+4)} \Biggr] \\ &+ \frac{C_{2}d}{T(e-1)} \Biggl[2Te^{\frac{t_{1}}{T}} - t_{1}e^{\frac{t_{1}}{T}} - eT \Biggr] \qquad \dots (1.18) \end{split}$$

Sub case 1: When $\beta = 1$

Sub case 2: When $\beta = 2$

Sub case 3: When $\beta = 3$

Case II: When T = 1, the total average cost is given by

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{t}_{1}) &= \frac{\mathbf{Cd}}{\mathbf{e}-1} \Bigg[\mathbf{t}_{1} + \frac{\mathbf{t}_{1}^{2}}{2} + \frac{\alpha \mathbf{t}_{1}^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} + \frac{\alpha \mathbf{t}_{1}^{\beta+2}}{\beta+2} - \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{t}_{1}} + 1 \Bigg] \\ &+ \frac{\mathbf{hd}}{\mathbf{e}-1} \Bigg[\frac{\mathbf{t}_{1}^{2}}{2} + \frac{\mathbf{t}_{1}^{3}}{3} + \frac{\alpha \beta \mathbf{t}_{1}^{\beta+3}}{(\beta+1)(\beta+3)} + \frac{\alpha \beta \mathbf{t}_{1}^{\beta+2}}{(\beta+1)(\beta+2)} \Bigg] \\ &+ \frac{\gamma \mathbf{d}}{\mathbf{e}-1} \Bigg[\frac{\mathbf{t}_{1}^{3}}{6} + \frac{\mathbf{t}_{1}^{4}}{8} + \frac{\alpha \beta \mathbf{t}_{1}^{\beta+3}}{2(\beta+2)(\beta+3)} + \frac{\alpha \beta \mathbf{t}_{1}^{\beta+4}}{2(\beta+2)(\beta+4)} \Bigg] \\ &+ \frac{\mathbf{C}_{2}\mathbf{d}}{\mathbf{e}-1} \Big[2\mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{t}_{1}} - \mathbf{t}_{1}\mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{t}_{1}} - \mathbf{e} \Big] \qquad \dots (1.19) \end{split}$$

Sub case I: When $\beta = 1$, then deterioration rate becomes constant in case of finite planning horizon

$$K(t_{1}) = \frac{cd}{T^{2}(e-1)} \left[t_{1} + \frac{t_{1}^{2}}{2T} + \frac{\alpha t_{1}^{2}}{2} + \frac{\alpha t_{1}^{3}}{3T} - Te^{\frac{t_{1}}{T}} + T \right]$$

$$+\frac{hd}{T^{2}(e-1)}\left[\frac{t_{1}^{2}}{2}+\frac{t_{1}^{3}}{3T}+\frac{\alpha t_{1}^{4}}{8T}+\frac{\alpha t_{1}^{3}}{6}\right]+\frac{\gamma d}{T^{2}(e-1)}\left[\frac{t_{1}^{3}}{6}+\frac{t_{1}^{4}}{8T}+\frac{\alpha t_{1}^{4}}{24}+\frac{\alpha t_{1}^{5}}{30T}\right]+\frac{c_{2}d}{T(e-1)}\left(2Te^{\frac{t_{1}}{T}}-t_{1}e^{\frac{t_{1}}{T}}-eT\right).$$
...(1.20)

Therefore

$$\frac{dK(t_1)}{dt_1} = \frac{cd}{T^2(e-1)} \left[1 + \frac{t_1}{T} + \alpha t_1 + \frac{\alpha t_1^2}{T} - e^{\frac{t_1}{T}} \right] + \frac{hd}{T^2(e-1)} \left[t_1 + \frac{t_1^2}{T} + \frac{\alpha t_1^3}{2T} + \frac{\alpha t_1^2}{2} \right] + \frac{\gamma d}{T^2(e-1)} \\ \left[\frac{t_1^2}{2} + \frac{t_1^3}{2T} + \frac{\alpha t_1^3}{6} + \frac{\alpha t_1^4}{6T} \right] + \frac{c_2 d}{T^2(e-1)} \left(Te^{\frac{t_1}{T}} - t_1 e^{\frac{t_1}{T}} \right) \\ dK(t_1)$$

For minimum total average cost $\frac{dK(t_1)}{dt_1} = 0$

$$\Rightarrow C\left(1 + \frac{t_1}{T} + \alpha t_1 + \frac{\alpha t_1^2}{T} - e^{\frac{t_1}{T}}\right) + h\left(t_1 + \frac{t_1^2}{T} + \frac{\alpha t_1^3}{2T} + \frac{\alpha t_1^2}{2}\right) + \gamma\left(\frac{t_1^2}{2} + \frac{t_1^3}{2T} + \frac{\alpha t_1^3}{6} + \frac{\alpha t_1^4}{6T}\right) + c_2\left(Te^{\frac{t_1}{T}} - t_1e^{\frac{t_1}{T}}\right) = 0....(1.21)$$

Sub case 2. When $\beta = 2$, deterioration rate will become a variable linear function of time, then total average cost becomes

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{t}_{1}) &= \frac{\mathbf{Cd}}{\mathbf{T}^{2}\left(\mathbf{e}-1\right)} \left[\mathbf{t}_{1} + \frac{\mathbf{t}_{1}^{2}}{2\mathbf{T}} + \frac{\alpha \mathbf{t}_{1}^{3}}{3} + \frac{\alpha \mathbf{t}_{1}^{4}}{4\mathbf{T}} - \mathbf{Te}^{\frac{\mathbf{t}_{1}}{\mathbf{T}}} + \mathbf{T} \right] \\ &+ \frac{\mathbf{hd}}{\mathbf{T}^{2}\left(\mathbf{e}-1\right)} \left[\frac{\mathbf{t}_{1}^{2}}{2} + \frac{\mathbf{t}_{1}^{3}}{3\mathbf{T}} + \frac{2\alpha \mathbf{t}_{1}^{5}}{15\mathbf{T}} + \frac{\alpha \mathbf{t}_{1}^{4}}{6} \right] + \frac{\gamma \mathbf{d}}{\mathbf{T}^{2}\left(\mathbf{e}-1\right)} \\ &\left[\frac{\mathbf{t}_{1}^{3}}{6} + \frac{\mathbf{t}_{1}^{4}}{8\mathbf{T}} + \frac{\alpha \mathbf{t}_{1}^{5}}{20} + \frac{\alpha \mathbf{t}_{1}^{6}}{24\mathbf{T}} \right] + \frac{\mathbf{c}_{2}\mathbf{d}}{\mathbf{T}^{2}\left(\mathbf{e}-1\right)} \left(2\mathbf{T}^{2}\mathbf{e}^{\frac{\mathbf{t}_{1}}{\mathbf{T}}} - \mathbf{t}_{1}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{e}^{\frac{\mathbf{t}_{1}}{\mathbf{T}}} - \mathbf{e}\mathbf{T}^{2} \right) \\ &\cdots (1.22) \end{split}$$

For minimum value of $K(t_1)$, $\frac{dK(t_1)}{dt_1} = 0$

$$\Rightarrow C\left(1 + \frac{t_1}{T} + \alpha t_1^2 + \frac{\alpha t_1^3}{T} - e^{\frac{t_1}{T}}\right) + h\left(t_1 + \frac{t_1^2}{T} + \frac{2\alpha t_1^4}{3T} + \frac{2\alpha t_1^3}{3}\right) + \gamma\left(\frac{t_1^2}{2} + \frac{t_1^3}{2T} + \frac{\alpha t_1^4}{4} + \frac{\alpha t_1^5}{4T}\right) + c_2\left(Te^{\frac{t_1}{T}} - t_1e^{\frac{t_1}{T}}\right) = 0...(1.23)$$

Sub case 3. When $\beta = 3$, then deterioration rate will become a quadratic function of time. Then total average cost equation becomes

$$\begin{split} K(t_{1}) &= \frac{Cd}{T^{2}(e-1)} \Bigg[t_{1} + \frac{t_{1}^{2}}{2T} + \frac{\alpha t_{1}^{4}}{4} + \frac{\alpha t_{1}^{5}}{5T} - Te^{\frac{t_{1}}{T}} + T \Bigg] \\ &+ \frac{hd}{T^{2}(e-1)} \Bigg[\frac{t_{1}^{2}}{2} + \frac{t_{1}^{3}}{3T} + \frac{\alpha t_{1}^{6}}{8T} + \frac{3\alpha t_{1}^{5}}{20} \Bigg] + \frac{\gamma d}{T^{2}(e-1)} \\ & \left[\frac{t_{1}^{3}}{6} + \frac{t_{1}^{4}}{8T} + \frac{\alpha t_{1}^{6}}{20} + \frac{3\alpha t_{1}^{7}}{70T} \Bigg] + \frac{c_{2}d}{T(e-1)} \Bigg[2Te^{\frac{t_{1}}{T}} - t_{1}e^{\frac{t_{1}}{T}} - eT \Bigg] . \\ & \dots (1.24) \end{split}$$

For minimum value of $K(t_1)$, $\frac{dK(t_1)}{dt_1} = 0$

$$\Rightarrow C\left(1 + \frac{t_1}{T} + \frac{\alpha t_1^3}{1} + \frac{\alpha t_1^4}{T} - e^{\frac{t_1}{T}}\right) + h\left(t_1 + \frac{t_1^2}{T} + \frac{3\alpha t_1^5}{4T} + \frac{3\alpha t_1^4}{4}\right) + \gamma\left(\frac{t_1^2}{2} + \frac{t_1^3}{2T} + \frac{3\alpha t_1^5}{10} + \frac{3\alpha t_1^6}{10T}\right) + c_2\left(Te^{\frac{t_1}{T}} - t_1e^{\frac{t_1}{T}}\right) = 0. \quad \dots (1.25)$$

For minimum value of $K(t_1) \frac{\partial K}{\partial t_1} = 0$, which gives

$$C\left[1 + t_{1} + \alpha t_{1}^{\beta} + \alpha t_{1}^{\beta+1} - e^{t_{1}}\right] + h\left[t_{1} + t_{1}^{2} + \frac{\alpha\beta t_{1}^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} + \frac{\alpha\beta t_{1}^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1}\right] + \gamma\left[\frac{t_{1}^{2}}{2} + \frac{t_{1}^{3}}{2} + \frac{\alpha\beta t_{1}^{\beta+2}}{2(\beta+2)} + \frac{\alpha\beta t_{1}^{\beta+3}}{2(\beta+2)}\right] + C_{2}\left(Te^{t_{1}} - t_{1}e^{t_{1}}\right) = 0. \qquad \dots (1.26)$$

Case III. If $\gamma = 0$, then holding cost will becomes constant. Total averages cost becomes

$$K(t_{1}) = \frac{cd}{T^{2}(e-1)} \left[t_{1} + \frac{t_{1}^{2}}{2T} + \frac{\alpha t_{1}^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} + \frac{\alpha t_{1}^{\beta+2}}{T(\beta+2)} - Te^{\frac{t_{1}}{T}} + T \right] + \frac{hd}{T^{2}(e-1)} \left[\frac{t_{1}^{2}}{2} + \frac{t_{1}^{3}}{3T} + \frac{\alpha \beta t_{1}^{\beta+3}}{T(\beta+1)(\beta+3)} + \frac{\alpha \beta t_{1}^{\beta+2}}{(\beta+1)(\beta+2)} + \frac{c_{2}d}{T(e-1)} \left(2Te^{\frac{t_{1}}{T}} - t_{1}e^{\frac{t_{1}}{T}} - eT \right). \quad \dots (1.27)$$

For minimum total averages cost, $\frac{dK(t_{1})}{dt} = 0$

 dt_1

$$\Rightarrow C\left(1 + \frac{t_1}{T} + \alpha t_1^{\beta} + \frac{\alpha t_1^{\beta+1}}{T} - e^{\frac{t_1}{T}}\right) + h\left(t_1 + \frac{t_1^2}{T} + \frac{\alpha \beta t_1^{\beta+2}}{T(\beta+1)} + \frac{\alpha \beta t_1^{\beta+1}}{(\beta+1)}\right) + c_2\left(Te^{\frac{t_1}{T}} - t_1e^{\frac{t_1}{T}}\right) = 0. \dots (1.28)$$

Sub case 1. When $\beta = 1$, then deterioration rate will become constant.

$$K(t_{1}) = \frac{Cd}{T^{2}(e-1)} \left[t_{1} + \frac{t_{1}^{2}}{2T} + \frac{\alpha t_{1}^{2}}{2} + \frac{\alpha t_{1}^{3}}{3T} - Te^{\frac{t_{1}}{T}} + T \right] + \frac{hd}{T^{2}(e-1)} \left[\frac{t_{1}^{2}}{2} + \frac{t_{1}^{3}}{3T} + \frac{\alpha t_{1}^{4}}{8T} + \frac{\alpha t_{1}^{3}}{6} \right] + \frac{C_{2}d}{T(e-1)} \left[2Te^{\frac{t_{1}}{T}} - t_{1}e^{\frac{t_{1}}{T}} - eT \right]. \qquad \dots (1.29)$$

For minimum value of $K(t_1)$, $\frac{dK(t_1)}{dt_1} = 0$

$$\Rightarrow C\left(1 + \frac{t_1}{T} + \alpha t_1 + \frac{\alpha t_1^2}{T} - e^{\frac{t_1}{T}}\right) + h\left(t_1 + \frac{t_1^2}{T} + \frac{\alpha t_1^3}{2T} + \frac{\alpha t_1^2}{2}\right) + C_2\left(Te^{\frac{t_1}{T}} - t_1e^{\frac{t_1}{T}}\right) = 0. \quad \dots (1.30)$$

Sub case 2. When $\beta = 2$, then deterioration rate will become a variable linear function of time.

$$K(t_{1}) = \frac{Cd}{T^{2}(e-1)} \left[t_{1} + \frac{t_{1}^{2}}{2T} + \frac{\alpha t_{1}^{3}}{3} + \frac{\alpha t_{1}^{4}}{4T} - Te^{\frac{t_{1}}{T}} + T \right] + \frac{hd}{T^{2}(e-1)} \left[\frac{t_{1}^{2}}{2} + \frac{t_{1}^{3}}{3T} + \frac{2\alpha t_{1}^{5}}{15T} + \frac{\alpha t_{1}^{4}}{6} \right] + \frac{C_{2}d}{T(e-1)} \left[2T^{2}e^{\frac{t_{1}}{T}} - t_{1}Te^{\frac{t_{1}}{T}} - eT^{2} \right]. \qquad \dots (1.31)$$

For minimum value of $K(t_1)$, $\frac{dK(t_1)}{dt_1} = 0$

$$\Rightarrow C\left(1 + \frac{t_1}{T} + \alpha t_1^2 + \frac{\alpha t_1^3}{T} - e^{\frac{t_1}{T}}\right) + h\left(t_1 + \frac{t_1^2}{T} + \frac{2\alpha t_1^4}{3T} + \frac{2\alpha t_1^3}{3}\right) + C_2\left(Te^{\frac{t_1}{T}} - t_1e^{\frac{t_1}{T}}\right) = 0. ...(1.32)$$

Sub case 3. When $\beta = 3$, then deterioration rate will become a quadratic function of time. Then total average cost

$$K(t_{1}) = \frac{Cd}{T^{2}(e-1)} \left[t_{1} + \frac{t_{1}^{2}}{2T} + \frac{\alpha t_{1}^{4}}{4} + \frac{\alpha t_{1}^{5}}{5T} - Te^{\frac{t_{1}}{T}} + T \right] + \frac{hd}{T^{2}(e-1)} \left[\frac{t_{1}^{2}}{2} + \frac{t_{1}^{3}}{3T} + \frac{\alpha t_{1}^{6}}{8T} + \frac{3\alpha t_{1}^{5}}{20} \right] + \frac{C_{2}d}{T(e-1)} \left[2Te^{\frac{t_{1}}{T}} - t_{1}Te^{\frac{t_{1}}{T}} - eT \right]. \qquad \dots (1.33)$$

For minimum total average cost, $\frac{dK(t_1)}{dt_1} = 0$,

$$\Rightarrow C\left(1 + \frac{t_1}{T} + \alpha t_1^3 + \frac{\alpha t_1^4}{T} - e^{\frac{t_1}{T}}\right) + h\left(t_1 + \frac{t_1^2}{T} + \frac{3\alpha t_1^5}{4T} + \frac{3\alpha t_1^4}{4}\right) + C_2\left(Te^{\frac{t_1}{T}} - t_1e^{\frac{t_1}{T}}\right) = 0. \dots (1.34)$$

CONCLUSION

In this chapter, we have endeavored to develop a two-warehouse inventory system with a very realistic and practical deterioration rate. The effect of deterioration of physical goods in stock is very realistic feature of inventory control. In this model deterioration rate at any item is assumed to follow two parameter Weibull distribution function of time. This deterioration rate is suitable for items with and without life-period. The two warehouse inventory problem is an intriguing yet practical topic of decision science. The two-warehouse model can be applied to many practical situations, due to introduction of open market policy; the business competition becomes very high to occupy maximum possible market. As a result, the management of the departmental store is bounded to hire a separate warehouse on rental basis at a distance place for storing of excess items. Complete backlogged shortages are permitted in this study. Finally, the associated total cost minimization was illustrated by numerical exemplar and sensitivity analysis was also carried out by using MATHEMATICA–8.0 for the feasibility and applicability of the model. The results have also been interpreted graphically.

REFERENCES

- 1. Maiti M. A two warehouse inventory model for a linear trend in demand. Opsearch, 31, 1998, 318-329.
- 2. Singh, S.R. (2013). An integrated inventory model with fuzzy variables, three-parameter Weibull deterioration and variable holding cost under inflation. Int. J. of Operational Research, 18, 4, 434 441.
- 3. Hartley R.V. Operations Research- A managerial emphasis. Good year publishing company, California, 1976, 315-317.
- 4. Maiti M. Deterministic inventory model with two levels of storage, a linear trend in demand and a fixed time horizon. Computers and Operations Research, 28, 2001, 1315-1331.
- 5. Kumari, R. (2012). Three echelon supply chain inventory model for deteriorating items with limited storage facility and lead-time under inflation. Int. J. of Services and Operations Management, 13, 1, 98 118.
- 6. Murdeshwar T.M. and Sathi Y.S. Some aspects of lot size models with two levels of storage. Opsearch, 22, 1985, 255-262.
- 7. Bansal K.K.(2013) "Inventory model for deteriorating items with the effect of inflation" International Journal of Application and Innovation in Engineering and Management Vol.2(5)
- 8. Bansal K.K.(2016) "A supply chain model with shortages under inflationary environment" Uncertain Supply Chain Management Vol.4 (4) pp 331-340
- Kumar A. Bansal K.K.(2014) "A Deterministic Inventory Model for a Deteriorating Item Is Explored In an Inflationary Environment for an Infinite Planning Horizon" International Journal of Education and Science Research Review Vol.1 (4) pp.79-86
- Ahlawat n., Bansal K.K.(2012) "Optimal Ordering Decision for Deteriorated Items with Expiration Date and Uncertain Lead Time" International Journal Of Management Research And Review Vol.2(6) pp.1054-1074

- 11. Bansal K.K.(2012) "Production Inventory Model with Price Dependent Demand and Deterioration" International Journal of Soft Computing and Engineering (IJSCE) Vol.2(2) 447-451
- 12. Bansal K.K., Sharma M.K. (2016) "Study of Inventory Model for Decaying Items with Stock Dependent Demand, Time Importance of Money above Acceptable Delay in Payments" International Journal of Engineering Research & Management Technology Vol.3(1)
- **13.** Kumar A., Singh A., Bansal K.K "Two Warehouse Inventory Model with Ramp Type Demand, Shortages under Inflationary Environment" IOSR Journal of Mathematics (IOSR-JM) Vol.12(3) pp.6-7
- 14. Achary K.K. A deterministic inventory model for deteriorating items with two warehouses and finite replenishment rate. European Journal of Operational Research, 57, 1992, 71-76.
- **15.** Pakkala T.C.M and Achary K.K. Two level storage inventory model for deteriorating items with bulk release rule, Opsearch 31, 1994, 215–227.
- **16.** Singh S.R. and Singh C. Optimal ordering policy for decaying items with stock-dependent demand under inflation in a supply chain, International Review of Pure and Advanced Mathematics, 1, 2008,31-39.
- 17. Tripathy, C.K. and Mishra, U. (2010), "An inventory model with time dependent linear deteriorating items with partial backlogging", International Journal of Math. Analysis, 4, 38, 1873 1879.
- **18.** Yang H.L. Two warehouse inventory models for deteriorating items with shortages under inflation. European Journal of Operational Research, 157, 2004, 344-356.
- **19. Yang H.L.** Two warehouse partial backlogging inventory models for deteriorating items under inflation. International Journal of Production Economics, 103, 2006, 362-370.
- **20.** Yang S.L. A two warehouse inventory model for items with stock level dependent demand rate. International Journal of Production Research, 95, 2005, 215-228.